

# C10 Haryanto International Journal The Effect of Metacognitive

*by* Haryanto Haryanto

---

**Submission date:** 13-Jun-2020 06:42AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 1342835852

**File name:** Haryanto\_International\_Journal\_The\_Effect\_of\_Metacognitive.pdf (848.91K)

**Word count:** 7316

**Character count:** 43708



# European Journal of Educational Research

Volume 9, Issue 2, 753 - 764.

ISSN: 2165-8714

<http://www.eu-jer.com/>

## The Effect of Metacognitive-Based Contextual Learning Model on Fifth-Grade Students' Problem-Solving and Mathematical Communication Skills

Erif Ahdhianto\*

Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta/  
Universitas Nusantara PGRI  
Kediri, INDONESIA

Marsigit

Universitas Negeri  
Yogyakarta, INDONESIA

Haryanto

Universitas Negeri  
Yogyakarta, INDONESIA

Novi Nitya Santi

Universitas Nusantara PGRI  
Kediri, INDONESIA

73

Received: December 9, 2019 • Revised: January 29, 2020 • Accepted: March 27, 2019

**Abstract:** Problem-solving and mathematical communication are essential skills needed by students in learning mathematics. However, empirical evidence reports that students' skills are less satisfying. Thus, this study aims to improve students' problem-solving and mathematical communication skills using a Metacognitive-Based Contextual Learning (MBCL) model. A quasi-experimental non-equivalent control group design was used in this study. The participants were 204 fifth-grade students; consisting of experimental ( $n = 102$ ) and control ( $n = 102$ ) groups selected using convenience sampling. This study was conducted in four Indonesian elementary schools in the first semester of the academic year 2019/2020. The Problem-Solving Skills Test (PSST) and Mathematical Communication Skills Test (MCST) were used as pre- and post-tests. In order to analyze the data, one-way ANOVA was used at the 0.05 significance level. The results showed that students in the experimental group had higher post-test scores than the control group in terms of problem-solving and mathematical communication skills. It can be concluded that the MBCL effectively promotes fifth-grade students' problem-solving and mathematical communication skills. Therefore, it is suggested that MBCL should be used more frequently in primary school mathematics to further improve students' problem-solving and mathematical communication skills.

**Keywords:** Contextual-based learning, mathematical communication skills, metacognition, problem-solving skills.

**To cite this article:** Ahdhianto, E., Marsigit, Haryanto, & Santi, N. N. (2020). The effect of metacognitive-based contextual learning model on fifth-grade students' problem-solving and mathematical communication skills. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 9(2), 753-764. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.9.2.753>

### Introduction

Problem-solving skills are one of the important skills in learning mathematics in the 21<sup>st</sup> century. Polya (1973) highlighted that problem-solving as an attempt to find a way out of a difficulty in order to achieve a goal. Problem-solving is recognized as an important life skill that involves a series of processes including analyzing, interpreting, reasoning, predicting, evaluating and pondering (Anderson, 2009). In addition, Kilpatrick et al. (2001) argued that problem-solving provides an important context for students to learn numbers and other mathematical terms. Other studies agreed on the importance of improving problem-solving skills among students, for instance, Andrews and Xenofontos (2014) and Branca (1980) considered that these essential skills are needed by students to evaluate mathematical information and solve problems in daily life. In fact, the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM) (2000) suggested that teachers develop students' mathematical problem-solving early on. This is important because advanced problem-solving skills encourage students to share ideas, discuss and expand mathematical discussions with their peers, and transfer their experiences to different situations, and in turn improve their problem-solving outcomes (Bostic et al., 2016; Santos-Trigo & Reyes-Martinez, 2019). In sum, mathematical problem-solving is a vital skill that students need to learn, evaluate, and solve everyday mathematical problems in order to achieve a goal. Thus, problem-solving skills should be possessed by children from the elementary school level.

Previous research reported that problem-solving skills affect mathematical abilities, school success, motivation, and individual relationships (e.g., Beyazsacli, 2016; Delice & Ergene, 2015; Moshirabadi et al., 2016; Saputro et al., 2019; Szabo & Andrews, 2017). However, Lee et al. (2014) found that many fourth-grade students had difficulty in solving mathematical problems. Supportively, in Indonesia, Demitra and Sarjoko (2018) and Parwati et al. (2018) revealed that mathematical problem-solving skills of primary and secondary school students need to be improved. Students

#### \* Corresponding author:

Erif Ahdhianto, Graduate School of Yogyakarta State University, Indonesia. ✉ [erif.ahdhianto2016@student.uny.ac.id](mailto:erif.ahdhianto2016@student.uny.ac.id)

generally learn to solve structured problems related to the subject matter (Johnson et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2014). Ozcan (2015) considered that routine problems are limited to exercises to test students' knowledge recently discussed. Thus, it is important to train students to solve non-routine problems using effective instructional strategies both inside and outside the classroom.

Promoting students' mathematical communication skills is one of the main goals in learning mathematics in elementary schools because communication is seen as one of the main processes in building understanding (Huang et al., 2005). NCTM (1989) stated that communication skills as the ability to express mathematical ideas through oral, written, and demonstrate them visually. In addition, John (2008) defined mathematical communication as an effort to organize and combine thinking using mathematical language. Supportively, Within (1992) argued that communication skills are important when discussions between students take place, where students are expected to be able to state, explain, describe, hear, ask and work together to deeply understand mathematics. In short, communication is seen as a way to convey ideas, thoughts, and feelings to others (van de Walle, 2008).

The importance of developing students' mathematical communication skills has been well documented; for instructors, these core competencies are related to reading comprehension, self-efficacy and scientific literacy (Hopf & Colby, 1992; Lamb et al., 1997; Spektor-Levy et al., 2009). However, recent findings showed that communication skills in mathematics curriculum are rarely trained by teachers, so students' mathematical communication skills tend to be unsatisfactory (Stoffelsma & Spooren, 2019; Yanawati et al., 2019). In addition, student difficulties in mathematical communication and problem-solving often occur due to the lack of mathematical knowledge and metacognitive skills (Hegarty et al., 1995; Schoenfeld, 1992; Verschaffel et al., 1999). Mevarech and Fan (2018) argued that metacognition is a higher-order thinking process which involves a one's active control over cognitive processes in order to understand and control his/her own learning. Chatzipanteli et al. (2013) revealed that metacognition plays an important role in supporting student success, because students who use metacognitive abilities are able to diagnose and fix problems, and find the best way to strengthen what they have learned. Therefore, teachers need to apply effective teaching strategies to develop students' problem-solving and mathematical communication skills to a satisfactory level.

The various teaching models are necessarily used to improve students' performance. For example, Maftoon and Alamdari (2020) reported that metacognitive strategy instruction had a positive and significant impact on students' metacognitive awareness. Similarly, Hargrove and Nietfeld (2014) found that metacognitive instruction significantly encourages students' creative problem-solving. Kazeni and Onwu (2013) noted that context-based learning is significantly better than traditional teaching approaches. In addition, Podschuweit and Bernholt (2017) reported that context-based learning provides opportunities for students to develop their conceptual understanding. In a similar vein, Dori et al. (2018) determined that context-based learning (CBL) combined with metacognitive promotes conceptual understanding and the ability to regulate their learning.

Context-based learning is a student-centered approach that bridges students' real-life into the learning environment to gain knowledge and practical experiences. The empirical studies on CBL have emphasized students' active role in learning especially the sense of ownership of the subject and responsibility for their own learning (de Putter-Smits, Taconis, & Jochems, 2013). In the context-based instruction curriculum, Bennett et al. (2007) revealed that context is used as a starting point for developing scientific ideas. Thus, teachers are required to familiarize themselves with the context in the materials (de Putter-Smits et al., 2013).

However, the influence of CBL combined with metacognitive prompts on students' mathematical problem-solving and communication skills, especially in elementary schools, is rarely reported (e.g. Chung et al., 2014). Thus, we focus on exploring the effects of context-based learning integrated with metacognitive prompts to foster students' problem-solving and mathematical communication skills in elementary school. To support this goal, we design a model of "Metacognitive-Based Contextual Learning" (MBCL) for Indonesian fifth-grade students to promote their problem-solving and mathematical communication skills.

Theoretically, MBCL integrates context-based learning and metacognitive instruction (Dori et al., 2018; Hargrove & Nietfeld, 2014; Kramarski et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2014). This teaching model focuses on the student in active learning activities. In general, there are five cycles in MBCL; (1) exploring initial knowledge; (2) providing contextual problems; (3) recognizing and solving problems; (4) presenting results; and (5) reflecting on the learning process. In the first cycle, students are divided randomly into small groups, the teacher then explores the students' initial knowledge related to the topic to be studied. In the second cycle, the teacher gives contextual problems to students and invites them to discuss problems related to their daily lives. In the third cycle, students understand problems and write down what they already know using mathematical symbols; they then design procedures to solve problems; investigate the problem; draw conclusions to find a solution. In the fourth cycle, students present the results of the discussion and their peers give questions and comment on their work. In the last stage, students reflect on their mathematical problem-solving activities and the teacher provides feedback to improve the next learning process. In short, the teacher as a facilitator guides students using metacognitive-based contextual learning to solve problems both in learning, daily life and having personal responsibility for learning (de Putter-Smits et al., 2013; Dori et al., 2018). Whereas in metacognitive instruction, students work in small groups to give reason mathematically and formulate and answer

metacognitive questions (Kramarski et al., 2002; Lee & Mak, 2018). Thus, metacognitive-based contextual learning not only emphasizes the elaboration in building new knowledge but also makes students aware of learning and develop their ability to use appropriate strategies (Goh, 2008; Kramarski et al., 2002).

### Research Purpose

This study aims to investigate the effect of the metacognitive-based contextual learning (MBCL) model to improve problem-solving and mathematical communication skills of fifth-grade students. The research questions are:

1. Is there any significant difference between students in the experimental group taught using metacognitive-based contextual learning and control group taught using traditional instruction in terms of problem-solving skills?
2. Is there any significant difference between students in the experimental group taught using metacognitive-based contextual learning and control group taught using traditional instruction in terms of mathematical communication skills?

### Methods

#### Research Design

A quasi-experimental non-equivalent control group design was used in this study (Shadish et al., 2002). This design (see Table 1) compared two groups of participants before and after treatment without assigning participants to the experimental and control groups (Shadish et al., 2002). The intervention was conducted in four schools; three public and one private elementary school (two classes per school, of eight classes). In this study, convenience sampling was used because of the convenient location of schools and the willingness of their mathematics teachers to participate. A total of eight intact classes were divided into four classes as an experimental group and four other classes as a control group. All schools apply the same national mathematics curriculum, the 2013 Curriculum (K-13), and they have similar school facilities. The independent variable was metacognitive-based contextual learning and the dependent variables were problem-solving and mathematical communication skills. This research was conducted from September to November 2019 in the academic year 2019/2020.

Table 1. Pre-test and post-test control group design

Groups	Pre-tests	Treatments	Post-tests
Experimental	Problem-Solving Skills Test (PSST) Mathematical Communication Skills Test (MCST)	Metacognitive-Based Contextual Learning (MBCL)	Problem-Solving Skills Test (PSST) Mathematical Communication Skills Test (MCST)
Control	Problem-Solving Skills Test (PSST) Mathematical Communication Skills Test (MCST)	Conventional Teaching Approach (CTA)	Problem-Solving Skills Test (PSST) Mathematical Communication Skills Test (MCST)

#### Research Sample

The sample was 204 elementary students aged 11-12 years old (113 males and 91 females) in Nganjuk Regency, Indonesia. All students were divided into experimental groups ( $n = 102$ ; 56 males and 46 females) and control group ( $n = 102$ ; 64 males and 38 females) randomly selected. Students in the experimental group were taught using metacognitive-based contextual learning (MBCL) and the control group was taught using conventional teaching approach (CTA). All students have an equal socio-economic and educational background. They lived in urban areas and came from middle to upper-income families. All students were instructed by 8 teachers with similar teaching experience, more than 10 years. The teacher's degree was B.Ed from a local university. In order to avoid bias instructors, all teachers are given directions to apply different learning models.

#### Research Instruments

##### Problem-Solving Skills Test (PSST)

The Problem-Solving Skills Test (PSST) was developed by researchers to measure the problem-solving skills of fifth-grade elementary school students in mathematics. PSST has been validated face and content by four experts in mathematics education. PSST consisted of four sub-scales adapted from Polya (1973), including understanding the problem, making a solution plan, solving the problem based on the plan, and concluding. In detail, the scoring rubric for problem-solving skills is presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Problem-solving skills rubric

Sub-Scales	Score	Scoring Criteria
Understanding the problem	2	Students write what is known and what is asked from the given problem clearly.
	1	Students write what is known and what is asked but not related to the given problem that students do not understand the problem
	0	Students do not write anything down that students do not understand the meaning of the given problem
Making a solution plan	2	Students write down the plan and necessary conditions (formulas) of the problems and use all the information collected.
	1	Students write the plan to solve the problem but not coherently
	0	Students do not write plans to solve problems
Solving the problem based on the plan	4	Students solve problems based on the plan, where there are no procedural errors and calculation errors
	3	Students solve problems based on the plans, where there was no procedural error, but calculation errors occur
	2	Students solve problems based on the plans but there are procedural errors and calculation errors.
	1	Students solve problems based on plans, but there are procedural errors and calculation errors
	0	Students do not solve problems based on plans
Concluding	2	Students make conclusions based on the questions and results
	1	Students make conclusions that do not match to the questions and results
	0	Students do not make conclusions

Initially, PSST consisted of 10 items in the form of a description. Each question has been adjusted to basic competencies and indicators in the Indonesian elementary school curriculum (Ministry of Education [MoE], 2006). Then, PSST was validated by four experts; one professor and three senior lecturers in mathematics teaching and learning technology. the validation relates to (1) the suitability of the questions with the indicators, (2) the level of difficulty of the questions, (3) the use of language, (4) the truth of the concept. After validation, PSST was tested to 30 fifth-grade students at Aisyiyah Elementary School in the pilot study. As a final version, four questions were valid and six questions were invalid (see Table 3). At the significance level of 0.05 and the degree of freedom of 28, the  $r_{table}$  value was 0.361. These six questions were declared valid because they had  $r_{observed}$  higher than  $r_{table}$ ; so that PSST is suitable to be used as pre- and post-tests. After being analyzed, the Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient was found at 0.72.

Table 3. Item validity of problem problem-solving skills

Items	$r_{observed}$	$p$	Criteria
Question 1	0.535	0.002	Valid
Question 2	0.183	0.334	Invalid
Question 3	0.684	0.000	Valid
Question 4	0.184	0.331	Invalid
Question 5	0.718	0.000	Valid
Question 6	0.095	0.618	Invalid
Question 7	0.578	0.001	Valid
Question 8	0.345	0.062	Invalid
Question 9	0.317	0.088	Invalid
Question 10	0.356	0.054	Invalid

#### Mathematical Communication Skills Test (MCST)

In order to evaluate students' mathematical communication skills, the Mathematical Communication Skills Test (MCST) was designed by researchers. MCST was modified from NCTM (2000). MCST has been validated face and content by four experts in mathematics education. The scoring rubric for students' mathematical communication skills is presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Indicators of mathematical communication skills assessment

Sub-scales	Indicator	Score	Scoring Criteria
The ability to connect contextual problems into mathematical ideas	Students can write what is known and asked based on the problem	2	Students write what is known and asked correctly and correctly
		1	Students write what is known and asked but not right
		0	No answer
The ability to express daily events into mathematical symbols or languages	Students can use mathematical symbols when writing information obtained from problems and completing the problem	2	Students use mathematical symbols when writing information obtained from problems and when solving problems correctly and correctly
		1	Students use mathematical symbols when writing information obtained from problems and when solving problems but not right.
		0	No answer
The ability to understand, interpret, and evaluate mathematical ideas in writing.	Students can write the concept of the formula used in solving daily problems, and do the calculation process correctly	4	Students write down the concept of the formula used in solving daily problems, and do the calculation process correctly and correctly
		3	Students write the concept of the formula used in solving daily problems correctly but are wrong in doing the calculation process.
		2	Students incorrectly write down the concept of the formula used in solving daily problems and do the calculation process incorrectly.
		1	Students incorrectly write down the concept of the formula used in solving daily problems and do not carry out the calculation process at all.
		0	No answer
Suggestions to draw a conclusion based on the questions.	Students can write conclusions of the results of the settlement based on the problem	2	Students write the conclusions of the results of the settlement based on the problem correctly and correctly
		1	Students write the conclusions of the results of the settlement based on the problem but not right
		0	No answer

Initially, MCST consisted of 10 items in the form of a description. Furthermore, MCST was tested on 30 fifth-grade students at Aisyiyah Elementary School, Indonesia. The trial results were analyzed using the Product Moment correlation. Rubric validity test results were valid if  $r_{\text{observed}}$  higher than 0.361. Based on the trial, four questions were valid and six other questions were invalid (see Table 5). These six questions were declared invalid and dropped from the test because have a  $r_{\text{observed}}$  value less than 0.361 ( $r_{\text{table}}$ ); so that MCST contained four questions that were appropriate for measuring mathematical communication skills as a final version. In addition, the Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient was found at 0.74. Thus, MCST was declared a valid and reliable test.

Table 5. Item validity of problem mathematical communication skills

Items	$r_{\text{observed}}$	p	Criteria
Question 1	0.108	0.571	Invalid
Question 2	0.080	0.673	Invalid
Question 3	0.320	0.084	Invalid
Question 4	0.318	0.087	Invalid
Question 5	0.579	0.001	Valid
Question 6	0.176	0.353	Invalid
Question 7	0.724	0.000	Valid
Question 8	0.331	0.074	Invalid
Question 9	0.681	0.000	Valid
Question 10	0.672	0.000	Valid

#### Procedures

Before treatment, the researchers developed metacognitive-based contextual learning (MBCL), lesson plans, teacher books, and student books. Then, four experts (one professor and three senior lecturers in mathematics teaching and

learning technology) validated all teaching materials. After obtaining feedback from the experts, the teaching material was revised and tested in the pilot study to obtain an appropriate learning model and tool.

After officially permitted by the Education Office of Nganjuk regency and all school principals, students and parents were given consent forms. All students participated voluntarily and could withdraw at any time. After they signed the consent form, a pre-test was carried out. Then, experimental and control group students participated in learning activities for five meetings (5 x 105 min = 525 min in total). Students in the experimental group were instructed to use the metacognitive-based contextual learning, and students in the control group used the conventional learning approach.

#### Intervention in the Experimental Group

In the preliminary stage, the teacher started the lesson by praying and informed the main material, basic competencies, and learning objectives. The teacher gave students the question to know their preliminary knowledge and presented information. At this stage, students made small groups (4-6 students based on their ability level; low, medium, and high), conveyed initial knowledge to discuss, and then paid attention to the information given by the teacher. Further, the teacher gave contextual problems, students paid attention, and students discussed it in their group. Then, students expressed their ideas and thoughts in written, oral, or picture form with language or mathematical symbols appropriately. Students made plans by looking for cognitive strategies based on the problem, solving problems with the strategies, concluding the answers to those problems. Students then presented their discussion result and other students gave input. Finally, students and the teacher reflected concepts they have learned and finally, the teacher gave group assignments to be discussed at the next meeting.

#### Intervention in the Control Group

In this method, the teacher was the active facilitator but students as passive listeners. The teacher led the prayer, checked students' attendance, gave apperception, and conveyed the learning objectives. Then, the teacher gave information and explained the material on the board, and students took notes. The teacher gave lower-order thinking skills questions and students worked on the problems individually. Next, students answered the questions and the teacher asked other students to correct. Finally, the teacher concluded the topic and gave individual assignments to be discussed at the next meeting.

#### Data Analysis

Before using inferential statistics, normality and homogeneity tests were done first. In this study, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilks tests were used to check the assumption of normality (Hair et al., 1995). The analysis showed that the data were normally distributed due to the  $p$ -value was higher than 0.05 (see Table 6).

Table 6. Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk test results

Groups		Kolmogorov-Smirnov			Shapiro-Wilk			
		Statistic	df	p	Statistic	df	p	
Problem-Solving Skills	Experimental	Post-test	0.072	102	0.200	0.981	102	0.145
		Pre-test	0.073	102	0.200	0.983	102	0.229
	Control	Post-test	0.071	102	0.200	0.977	102	0.079
		Pre-test	0.063	102	0.200	0.988	102	0.493
Mathematical Communication Skills	Experimental	Post-test	0.078	102	0.130	0.989	102	0.565
		Pre-test	0.073	102	0.200	0.983	102	0.198
	Control	Post-test	0.076	102	0.165	0.983	102	0.205
		Pre-test	0.070	102	0.200	0.989	102	0.567

Based on the results of the matrix covariance test, it showed that the Box's  $M$  values in both variables had a significant number greater than 0.05 (see Table 7). Therefore, it could be concluded that the variance-covariance matrices of the dependent variable were assumed equal.

Table 7. Box's  $M$  test results

Dependent Variables	n	Box's M	F	df1	df2	p
Problem-Solving Skills	102	5.708	1.882	3	7344720.0	0.130
Mathematical Communication Skills	102					

Next, the homogeneity test of variance between groups used the Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variance (Hair et al., 1995). The analysis showed that the homogeneity of the variance was not violated (see Table 8). Thus, the assumption test has been fulfilled.

Table 8. Levene's test results

Dependent Variables	F	df1	df2	p
Problem-Solving Skills	0.003	1	202	0.958
Mathematical Communication Skills	0.328	1	202	0.567

Furthermore, to investigate the effect of metacognitive-based contextual learning models on students' problem-solving and mathematical communication skills, a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed. In the current study, SPSS version 25 was used to analyze data at a significance level of 0.05.

## Results

### Instructional Model Development

The developed products consist of MBCL and learning tools (lesson plans, teacher books, student books) on the topics of Time, Distance, Speed, Debit, and Comparison. In this phase, MBCL was validated by four experts, including one professor and three senior lecturers in mathematics teaching and learning technology. Feedback from experts included; book covers and layouts need to be designed more interestingly, problems and questions related to students' daily life need to be enriched, the use of fonts should be consistent, and learning outcomes and learning activities need to be specified in detail. After receiving expert feedback, the MBCL model was then revised. The final instructional product is visualized in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Implementation guide of MBCL

### Effects on Problem-Solving and Mathematical Communication Skills

One-way ANOVA is used to determine the difference between pre-test mean scores between experimental and control groups in terms of problem-solving and mathematical communication skills. The results are shown in Table 9.

Table 9. One-way ANOVA results for pre-test mean scores

Dependent Variables	Groups	M	SD	df	F	p
Problem-Solving Skills	Experimental	59.02	9.79	1	2.113	0.148
	Control	57.04	9.94			
Mathematical Communication Skills	Experimental	59.94	10.66	1	1.761	0.186
	Control	57.84	11.98			

Note: \* $p < 0.05$

According to Table 9, there are no significant differences in pre-test scores between the experimental and control groups in terms of problem-solving ( $F = 2.113, p > 0.05$ ) and mathematical communication skills ( $F = 1.761, p > 0.05$ ). This indicates that both groups have equal initial skills.

Furthermore, ANOVA is employed to explore univariate main effects of learning models on the dependent variables to test the first and second research questions. The results of the analysis of the influence of instructional models on students' problem-solving and mathematical communication skills are presented in Table 10.



Table 10. One-way ANOVA results for post-test mean scores

Dependent variables	Groups	M	SD	df	F	p
Problem-Solving Skills	Experimental	75.99	10.46	1	67.687	0.000
	Control	63.55	11.06			
Mathematical Communication Skills	Experimental	75.65	11.17	1	56.151	0.000
	Control	64.30	10.42			

Note: \* $p < 0.05$

Based on Table 10, the mean score on the problem-solving skills post-test shows that students in the experimental group ( $M = 75.99$ ;  $SD = 10.46$ ) has significantly higher mean scores than control group ( $M = 63.55$ ;  $SD = 11.06$ ). In addition, students in the experimental group ( $M = 75.65$ ;  $SD = 11.17$ ) has significantly higher mean scores than their counterparts ( $M = 64.30$ ;  $SD = 10.42$ ) in terms of mathematical communication skills. In short, there is significant difference mean score in mathematical problem-solving [ $F(1,202) = 67,687$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ] and communication skills [ $F(1,202) = 56,151$ ;  $p < 0.05$ ] between the two groups. This finding implies that treatment differences affect the mean post-test scores between experimental and control group students.

### Discussion

This study aims to investigate the effect of MBCL on fifth-grade students' problem-solving and mathematical communication skills compared to traditional instruction. After being analyzed, the ANOVA test results showed that students taught using context-based instruction had better post-test scores than students received the traditional teaching approach. This indicates that metacognitive-based contextual learning is effective in increasing student learning. This may result in problems related to students' daily lives during the intervention. Significantly, Ultay (2017) noted that contextual problems effectively involve students in the learning process and promote their problem-solving skills. The previous research agrees that a learning environment designed using context-based approach can overcome problems in traditional instruction (Irwanto et al., 2019; Pilot & Bulte, 2006; Westbroek, 2005).

The ANOVA results also imply that students taught using context-based instruction show significantly higher scores on problem-solving skills than those who are taught conventionally. One possible reason is that the teacher creates a metacognitive-based contextual learning environment that attracts attention where students are given the opportunity to ask relevant questions. In turn, these activities stimulate students to develop their problem-solving skills through context-oriented questions or problems related to everyday situations. In line with this argument, Ultay (2017) stated that problems allow students to analyze, synthesize, and evaluate are claimed to improve their problem-solving skills. This finding is consistent with previous studies which reported that context-based instruction promotes students' problem-solving skills in primary and secondary schools (e.g., Kopparla et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2014).

Another explanation is that MBCL emphasizes active student learning activities and interactions among them. The teacher only acts as a facilitator who provides questions and problems for students. In addition, the problems presented are consistent with daily situations, so that mathematical knowledge becomes more meaningful. Furthermore, in solving problems, students are directed to use the ability of metacognition, where students are stimulated to think about what appropriate steps are used to solve their problems related to real life. O'Neil and Brown (1997) agreed that metacognition is seen as a thought process for solving problems. In fact, Jacobse and Harskamp (2009) believe that students can improve strategies in the process of solving mathematical problems using metacognitive skills. In the MBCL environment, students are also facilitated and motivated to improve problem-solving through hands-on activities.

In addition, the ANOVA results show that students taught using context-based instruction show better scores on mathematical communication skills than students taught using traditional instruction. The reason might be during treatment, MBCL provided students with opportunities to increase peer interaction in order to construct student communication skills. Additionally, metacognitive prompts encourage students to understand the main concepts and answer complex questions during treatment (Dori et al., 2018). Specifically, Chung et al. (2014) and Fadli and Irwanto (2020) contended that peer interactions can promote students' communication skills. In order to find a solution, students in small groups express their ideas, opinions, and thoughts verbally and in writing (Shield & Galbraith, 1998). Students then communicate the findings in a whole class and other students provide input. A series of activities are pursued so that students use mathematical representation under the guidance of the teacher. As mentioned by Brenner et al. (1997), mathematical communication is closely related to how students use mathematical representations (i.e., words, graphs, tables, and equations). This means that students who are proficient in using mathematical representation during group work tend to have good mathematical communication. In another study, Allen (2012) revealed that discussions in small groups also improve students' mathematical communication. The current findings are in accordance with several other studies that have documented the positive effects of CBL on students' mathematical communication skills (e.g., Chung et al., 2014; Yoo, & Park, 2014).

In short, students' high post-test scores in this study may be due to MBCL facilitating students to learn mathematical concepts by connecting topics with the context of everyday life (Johnson, 2002). Supportively, Dugger (2010) argued that context-based learning gives students opportunities to communicate, do teamwork, and solve problems. In this study, students' problem-solving skills develop well when teachers associate learning with their real-life (Irwanto et al., 2018; Wright, 2001). In addition, the success of their communication is related to opportunities obtained by students and interactions between students and the learning environment during the intervention (Olteanu & Olteanu, 2013). In order to make mathematics more meaningful for students, Gilbert (2006) even emphasized the importance of real-world contexts to be designed in such a way as to engage students in teaching and learning.

### Conclusions and Recommendations

In conclusion, the mean post-test scores of students taught using the metacognitive-based contextual learning model (MBCL) were significantly higher than in another group in terms of problem-solving and mathematical communication skills. Our study confirms previous findings related to the effectiveness of context-based teaching on student learning in mathematics. This study provides evidence that the metacognitive-based contextual learning model is effective in increasing elementary school student achievement. To improve students' abilities in developing problem-solving and mathematical communication skills, mathematics teachers need to pay attention to these findings and to utilize strategies that support the use of context-based instruction in the twenty-first century. Therefore, it is suggested that MBCL should be used more frequently in primary school mathematics to further improve students' problem-solving and mathematical communication skills.

Although the developed models and tools are effective in increasing student learning, there are some limitations found. First, the topics taught are limited to material time, distance, speed, discharge, and comparison. Second, the intervention was carried out for six sessions, so long-term research needs to be done to study changes in student achievement over time. In addition, the current research investigates students' problem-solving and mathematical communication skills, further research needs to evaluate the effect of contextual based learning on other variables; for instance, attitudes, achievements, motivation, and higher-order thinking skills, both quantitatively and qualitatively.

### References

- Allen, K. C. (2012). Keys to successful group work: Culture, structure and nurture. *Mathematics Teacher*, 106(4), 308-312.
- Anderson, J. (2009). Mathematics curriculum development and the role of problem solving. In K. School (Ed.), *Proceedings of 2009 Australian Curriculum Studies Association National Biennial Conference. Curriculum: A National Conversation* (pp. 1-8). Australian Curriculum Studies Association.
- Andrews, P., & Xenofontos, C. (2014). Analysing the relationship between the problem-solving-related beliefs, competence and teaching of three Cypriot primary teachers. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 18(4), 299-325.
- Bennett, J., Lubben, F., & Hogarth, S. (2007). Bringing science to life: A synthesis of the research evidence on the effects of context-based and STS approaches to science teaching. *Science Education*, 91(3), 347-370.
- Beyazsacii, M. (2016). Relationship between problem solving skills and academic achievement. *The Anthropologist*, 25(3), 288-293.
- Bostic, J. D., Pape, S. J., & Jacobbe, T. (2016). Encouraging sixth-grade students' problem-solving performance by teaching through problem solving. *Investigations in Mathematics Learning*, 8(3), 30-58.
- Branca, N. A. (1980). Problem solving as a goal, process and basic skills. In S. Krulik & R. E. Reys (Eds.). *Problem solving in school mathematics*. NCTM.
- Brenner, M. E., Mayer, R. E., Moseley, B., Brar, T., Duran, R., Reed, B. S., & Webb, D. (1997). Learning by understanding: The role of multiple representations in learning algebra. *American Educational Research Journal*, 34(4), 663-689.
- Chatzipanteli, A., Grammatikopoulos, V., & Gregoriadis, A. (2013). Development and evaluation of metacognition in early childhood education. *Early Child Development and Care*, 184(8), 1223-1232.
- Chung, Y., Yoo, J., Kim, S.-W., Lee, H., & Zeidler, D. L. (2014). Enhancing students' communication skills in the science classroom through socioscientific issues. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 14(1), 1-27.
- De Putter-Smits, L. G. A., Taconis, R., & Jochems, W. M. G. (2013). Mapping context-based learning environments: The construction of an instrument. *Learning Environments Research*, 16(3), 437-462.
- Delice, A., & Ergene, O. (2015). Investigation of solving process in integral volume problems within personal relationship; Disk, washer and shell methods. *Sakarya University Journal of Education*, 5(1), 37-54.

- Demitra & Sarjoko (2018). Effects of handep cooperative learning based on indigenous knowledge on mathematical problem solving skill. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(2), 103-114.
- Ministry of Education. (2006). *Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional no. 22 tahun 2006 tentang standar isi* [Minister of National Education regulation no. 22 of 2006 on the content standards]. Depdiknas.
- Dori, Y. J., Avargil, S., Kohen, Z., & Saar, L. (2018). Context-based learning and metacognitive prompts for enhancing scientific text comprehension. *International Journal of Science Education*, 40(10), 1198-1220.
- Dugger, W. E. (2010). *Evolution of STEM in the United States*. Paper presented at the 6th Biennial International Conference on Technology Education Research. Griffith Institute of Educational Research.
- Fadli, A., & Irwanto. (2020). The effect of local wisdom-based ELSII learning model on the problem solving and communication skills of pre-service Islamic teachers. *International Journal of Instruction*, 13(1), 731-746.
- Gilbert, J. K. (2006). On the nature of "context" in chemical education. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28(9), 957-976.
- Goh, C. (2008). Metacognitive instruction for second language listening development. *RELC Journal*, 39(2), 188-213.
- Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L., & Black, W. C. (1995). *Multivariate data analysis*. Prentice-Hall.
- Hargrove, R. A., & Nietfeld, J. L. (2014). The impact of metacognitive instruction on creative problem solving. *The Journal of Experimental Education*, 83(3), 291-318. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220973.2013.876604>
- Hegarty, M., Mayer, R. E., & Monk, C. A. (1995). Comprehension of arithmetic word problem: a comparison of successful and unsuccessful problem solvers. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 87(1), 18-31.
- Hopf, T., & Colby, N. (1992). The relationship between interpersonal communication apprehension and self-efficacy. *Communication Research Reports*, 9(2), 131-135.
- Huang, J., Normandia, B., & Greer, S. (2005). Communicating mathematically: Comparison of knowledge structures in teacher and student discourse in a secondary math classroom. *Communication Education*, 54(1), 34-51.
- Irwanto, Saputro, A. D., Rohaeti, E., & Prodjosantoso, A. K. (2018). Promoting critical thinking and problem solving skills of preservice elementary teachers through process-oriented guided-inquiry learning (POGIL). *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(4), 777-794.
- Irwanto, Saputro, A. D., Rohaeti, E., & Prodjosantoso, A. K. (2019). Using inquiry-based laboratory instruction to improve critical thinking and scientific process skills among preservice elementary teachers. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 80, 151-170. <https://doi.org/10.14689/ejer.2019.80.8>
- Jacobse, A. E., & Harskamp, E. G. (2009). Student-controlled metacognitive training for solving word problems in primary school mathematics. *Educational Research and Evaluation*, 15(5), 447-463.
- John, A. (2008). *Matematika sekolah dasar dan menengah* [Mathematics for primary and secondary schools]. Erlangga.
- Johnson, E. B. (2002). *Contextual teaching and learning menjadikan kegiatan belajar mengajar mengasyikkan dan bermakna* [Contextual teaching and learning makes teaching and learning activities more fun and meaningful]. MLC.
- Johnson, S. D., Dixon, R., Daugherty, J., & Lawanto, O. (2011). General versus specific intellectual competencies. In M. Barak & M. Hacker (Eds.), *Fostering human development through engineering and technology education*. Sense Publishers.
- Kazeni, M., & Onwu, G. (2013). Comparative effectiveness of context-based and traditional approaches in teaching genetics: Student views and achievement. *African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 17(1-2), 50-62.
- Kilpatrick, J., Swafford, J., & Findell, B. (2001). *Adding it up: Helping children learn mathematics*. National Academy Press.
- Kopparla, M., Bicer, A., Vela, K., Lee, Y., Bevan, D., Kwon, H., Caldwell, C., Capraro, M. M., & Capraro, R. M. (2019). The effects of problem-posing intervention types on elementary students' problem-solving. *Educational Studies*, 45(6), 708-725.
- Kramarski, B., Mevarech, Z. R., & Arami, M. (2002). The effects of metacognitive instruction on solving mathematical authentic tasks. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 49(2), 225-250.
- Lamb, S., Bibby, P., Wood, D., & Leyden, G. (1997). Communication skills, educational achievement and biographic characteristics of children with moderate learning difficulties. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 12(4), 401-414.

- Lee, I., & Mak, P. (2018). Metacognition and metacognitive instruction in second language writing classrooms. *TESOL Quarterly*, 52(4), 1085-1097.
- Lee, N. H., Yeo, D. J. S., & Hong, S. E. (2014). A metacognitive-based instruction for primary four students to approach non-routine mathematical word problems. *ZDM Mathematics Education*, 46(3), 465-480.
- Maftoon, P., & Alamdari, E. F. (2020). Exploring the effect of metacognitive strategy instruction on metacognitive awareness and listening performance through a process-based approach. *International Journal of Listening*, 34(1), 1-20.
- Mayer, R. E. (1999). Desinging instruction for constructivist learning. In C. M. Reigeluth (Ed.), *Instructional design theories and models: New paradigm of instructional theory* (pp.141-159). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Mevarech, Z. R., & Fan, L. (2018). Cognition, metacognition, and mathematics literacy. In Y. J. Dori, Z. R. Mevarech, & D. R. Baker (Eds.), *Cognition, metacognition, and culture in STEM education* (pp.261-278). Springer.
- Moshirabadi, Z., Haghani, H., & Borimnejad, L. (2016). The perceived problem solving skill of Iranian nursing students: A cross-sectional study. *European Psychiatry*, 33, 651-652. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2016.01.1932>
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (1989). *Curriculum and evaluation standards for school mathematics*. National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (2000). *Assessments and standards for school mathematics*. National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- O'Neil Jr, H. F., & Brown R. S. (1997). *Differential effect of question formats in math assessment on metacognition and affect*. CRESST-CSE University of California.
- Olteanu, C., & Olteanu, L. (2013). Enhancing mathematics communication using critical aspects and dimensions of variation. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 44(4), 513-522.
- Ozcan, Z. Ç. (2015). The relationship between mathematical problem-solving skills and self-regulated learning through homework behaviours, motivation, and metacognition. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 47(3), 408-420.
- Parwati, N., Sudiarta, I., Mariawan, I., & Widiana, I. (2018). Local wisdom-oriented problem solving learning model to improve mathematical problem solving ability. *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 8(4), 310-320.
- Pilot, A., & Bulte, A. M. W. (2006). Why do you "need to know"? Context-based education. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28(9), 953-956.
- Podschuweit, S., & Bernholt, S. (2017). Composition-effects of context-based learning opportunities on students' understanding of energy. *Research in Science Education*, 48(4), 717-752.
- Polya, G. (1973). *How to solve it*. Princeton University Press
- Santos-Trigo, M., & Reyes-Martinez, I. (2019). High school prospective teachers' problem-solving reasoning that involves the coordinated use of digital technologies. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 50(2), 182-201.
- Santrock, J. W. (2014). *Child development*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Saputro, A., Irwanto, I., Atun, S., & Wilujeng, I. (2019). The impact of problem solving instruction on academic achievement and science process skills among prospective elementary teachers. *Elementary Education Online*, 18(2), 496-507.
- Schoenfeld, A. H. (1992). Learning to think mathematically: Problem solving, metacognition, and sense making in mathematics. In D. A. Grows (Ed.), *Handbook of research on mathematics teaching and learning* (pp. 334-370). Macmillan.
- Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (2002). *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference*. Houghton Mifflin.
- Shield, M., & Galbraith, P. (1998). The analysis of student expository writing in mathematics. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 36(1), 29-52.
- Spektor-Levy, O., Eylon, B.-S., & Scherz, Z. (2009). Teaching scientific communication skills in science studies: Does it make a difference? *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 7(5), 875-903.
- Stoffelsma, L., & Spooren, W. (2019). The relationship between english reading proficiency and academic achievement of first-year science and mathematics students in a multilingual context. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 17(5), 905-922.

- Szabo, A., & Andrews, P. (2017). Uncovering the relationship between mathematical ability and problem solving performance of Swedish upper secondary school students. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 62(4), 555-569.
- Ultay, E. (2017). Examination of context-based problem-solving abilities of pre-service physics teachers. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 16(1), 113-122.
- van de Walle, J. A. (2008). *Elementary and middle school mathematics*. Pearson.
- Verschaffel, L., de Corte, E., Lasure, S., van Vaerenbergh, G., Bogaerts, H., & Ratinckx, E. (1999). Learning to solve mathematical application problems: A design experiment with fifth graders. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 1(3), 195-229.
- Westbroek, H. B. (2005). *Characteristics of meaningful chemistry education, the case of water quality* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Utrecht University.
- Within. (1992). Mathematics task centre; professional development and problem solving. In J. Wakefield & L. Velardi (Eds.), *Celebrating mathematics learning*. The Mathematical Association of Victoria.
- Wright, P. (2001). School-based issues and appropriate technology. In R. C. Wicklein (Ed.), *Appropriate technology for sustainable living: ITEA 50th yearbook* (pp. 133- 152). International Technology Education Association.
- Yaniawati, R. P., Indrawan, R., & Setiawan, G. (2019). Core model on improving mathematical communication and connection, analysis of students' mathematical disposition. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(4), 639-654.
- Yoo, M.-S., & Park, H.-R. (2014). Effects of case-based learning on communication skills, problem-solving ability, and learning motivation in nursing students. *Nursing & Health Sciences*, 17(2), 166-172.
- Yu, K.-C., Fan, S.-C., & Lin, K.-Y. (2014). Enhancing students' problem-solving skills through context-based learning. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 13(6), 1377-1401.

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

---

**23%**

SIMILARITY INDEX

**12%**

INTERNET SOURCES

**15%**

PUBLICATIONS

**16%**

STUDENT PAPERS

---

## PRIMARY SOURCES

---

**1**

**Submitted to University of Sunderland**

Student Paper

**<1%**

---

**2**

**Chwee Beng Lee, David Jonassen, Timothy Teo. "The role of model building in problem solving and conceptual change", Interactive Learning Environments, 2011**

Publication

**<1%**

---

**3**

**www.tandfonline.com**

Internet Source

**<1%**

---

**4**

**Submitted to La Trobe University**

Student Paper

**<1%**

---

**5**

**Submitted to EPOKA University**

Student Paper

**<1%**

---

**6**

**www.science.gov**

Internet Source

**<1%**

---

**7**

**eprints.qut.edu.au**

Internet Source

**<1%**

---

**8**

**Submitted to Argosy University**

Student Paper

**<1%**

"Children's Perceptions of Tests: A Content Analysis Gokce Bulgan 10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.159 Pages: 159-167 The Relationships between Quality of Work Life, School Alienation, Burnout, Affective Commitment and Organizational Citizenship: A Study on Teachers Huseyin Akar 10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.169 Pages: 169-180 Determination of Teacher Candidates' Views Concerning V Diagrams Used in General Biology Laboratories Kadriye Kayacan 10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.181 Pages: 181-187 Use of Instructional Technologies by Teachers in the Educational Process: Metaphor Analysis Study Hakan Sarac 10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.189 Pages: 189-202 The Analysis of Kutadgu Bilig in Terms of Values Education Aysegul Tural 10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.203 Pages: 203-209 Investigating the Resilience Levels of Parents with Children with Multiple Disabilities Based on Different Variables Sinem Kadi, Muzeyyen Eldeniz Cetin 10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.211 Pages: 211-223 Examination of Postgraduate Theses on History Textbooks in Turkey in Terms of Some Variables Eray Alaca 10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.225 Pages: 225-232 Influences of Technology Integrated Professional Development Course on Mathematics Teachers Umit Kul 10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.233 Pages: 233-243 The Book of My

Dreams Hatice Degirmenci Gundogmus  
10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.245 Pages: 245-249  
Student Definitions of Intercultural Competence  
(IC)- Are They Context-Specific? Nadine Binder,  
Ozen Odag, Anne Leiser, Lisa Ludders, Karina  
Karolina Kedzior 10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.251  
Pages: 251-265 Investigation of the Visuals  
Associated with the National identity in Turkish  
Republic Revolution History and Kemalism  
Textbooks Mehmet Elban 10.12973/eu-  
jer.7.2.267 Pages: 267-279 The Interplay of  
Emotional Instability and Socio-Environmental  
Aspects of Schools during Adolescence  
Alexander Lätsch 10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.281  
Pages: 281-293 Problems of Gifted and  
Talented Students Regarding Cursive  
Handwriting: Parent Opinions Hatice Kadioglu  
Ates 10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.295 Pages: 295-301 A  
Study of Curriculum Literacy and Information  
Literacy Levels of Teacher Candidates in  
Department of Social Sciences Education  
Serhat Sural, Nurhak Cem Dedeali  
10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.303 Pages: 303-317 Why  
Should Bilingualized Dictionary of Turkish Be  
Used in Teaching Turkish as a Foreign  
Language? Sami Baskin 10.12973/eu-  
jer.7.2.319 Pages: 319-327 Alternative  
Observation Tools for the Scope of  
Contemporary Education Supervision: An Action



Research Saadet Kuru Cetin 10.12973/eu-  
jer.7.2.329 Pages: 329-340 The Reflection of  
Neoliberal Economic Policies on Education:  
Privatization of Education in Turkey Arslan  
Bayram 10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.341 Pages: 341-  
347 Exploring Prospective Teachers'  
Reflections in the Context of Conducting Clinical  
Interviews Rukiye Didem Taylan 10.12973/eu-  
jer.7.2.349 Pages: 349-358 Consistency  
between Constructivist Profiles and Instructional  
Practices of Prospective Physics Teachers  
Ozlem Ates, Gul Unal Coban, Serap Kaya  
Sengoren 10.12973/eu-jer.7.2.359 Pages: 359-  
372 Fraction Multiplication and Division Word  
Problems Posed by Different Years of Pre-  
Service Elementary Mathematics Teachers  
Tuba Aydogdu Iskenderoglu 10.12973/eu-  
jer.7.2.373 Pages: 373-385 The Effect of 7E  
Learning Model on Conceptual Understandings  
of Prospective Science Teachers on "de Broglie  
Matter Waves" Subject", European Journal of  
Educational Research, 2018

Publication

---

10 [diginole.lib.fsu.edu](http://diginole.lib.fsu.edu)  
Internet Source

<1%

---

11 [onlinelibrary.wiley.com](http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com)  
Internet Source

<1%

---

12

[www.coursehero.com](http://www.coursehero.com)

Internet Source

&lt;1%

13

N Nurjanah, T Herman, S Prabawanto.  
"Analysis of students mathematical  
communication ability", Journal of Physics:  
Conference Series, 2019

Publication

&lt;1%

14

[www.questia.com](http://www.questia.com)

Internet Source

&lt;1%

15

[eprints.uad.ac.id](http://eprints.uad.ac.id)

Internet Source

&lt;1%

16

[www.pmena.org](http://www.pmena.org)

Internet Source

&lt;1%

17

Submitted to University of Nottingham

Student Paper

&lt;1%

18

[ar.scribd.com](http://ar.scribd.com)

Internet Source

&lt;1%

19

Saribas, Deniz, and Hale Bayram. "Is it possible  
to improve science process skills and attitudes  
towards chemistry through the development of  
metacognitive skills embedded within a  
motivated chemistry lab?: a self-regulated  
learning approach", Procedia - Social and  
Behavioral Sciences, 2009.

Publication

&lt;1%

20 N D Putri, E Musdi. "Analysis of students Initial mathematical communication skills in mathematics learning", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020  
Publication <1%

---

21 Submitted to University of South Africa  
Student Paper <1%

---

22 [journal.unj.ac.id](http://journal.unj.ac.id)  
Internet Source <1%

---

23 Nurtaç Canpolat, Tacettin Pınarbaşı, Samih Bayrakçeken, Omer Geban. "The conceptual change approach to teaching chemical equilibrium", Research in Science & Technological Education, 2006  
Publication <1%

---

24 S Wahyuni, J A Dahlan. "Senior high school students' problem-solving ability in completing sine rule problems", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020  
Publication <1%

---

25 Submitted to Western Governors University  
Student Paper <1%

---

26 M L Nasution, Y Yerizon, R Gusmiyanti. "Students' Mathematical Problem-Solving Abilities Through The Application of Learning Models Problem Based Learning", IOP <1%

# Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2018

Publication

- 
- |    |   |     |
|----|---|-----|
| 27 | Submitted to Southeast Community College<br>Student Paper | <1% |
|----|---|-----|
- 
- |    |   |     |
|----|---|-----|
| 28 | <a href="http://www.sociedadbellaterra.cl">www.sociedadbellaterra.cl</a><br>Internet Source | <1% |
|----|---|-----|
- 
- |    |  |     |
|----|--|-----|
| 29 | Submitted to University of Huddersfield<br>Student Paper | <1% |
|----|--|-----|
- 
- |    |   |     |
|----|---|-----|
| 30 | I Pertiwi, Wahyudin. "Analysis of elementary student's mathematical connection and communication ability", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020<br>Publication | <1% |
|----|---|-----|
- 
- |    |   |     |
|----|---|-----|
| 31 | <a href="http://www.iejee.com">www.iejee.com</a><br>Internet Source | <1% |
|----|---|-----|
- 
- |    |   |     |
|----|---|-----|
| 32 | <a href="http://eprints.unm.ac.id">eprints.unm.ac.id</a><br>Internet Source | <1% |
|----|---|-----|
- 
- |    |   |     |
|----|---|-----|
| 33 | <a href="http://www.svyasadde.com">www.svyasadde.com</a><br>Internet Source | <1% |
|----|---|-----|
- 
- |    |   |     |
|----|---|-----|
| 34 | <a href="http://jurnal.uinsu.ac.id">jurnal.uinsu.ac.id</a><br>Internet Source | <1% |
|----|---|-----|
- 
- |    |   |     |
|----|---|-----|
| 35 | <a href="http://ilkogretim-online.org.tr">ilkogretim-online.org.tr</a><br>Internet Source | <1% |
|----|---|-----|
-

36

Submitted to University of Northampton

Student Paper

<1%

37

[www.jstage.jst.go.jp](http://www.jstage.jst.go.jp)

Internet Source

<1%

38

[dspace.jaist.ac.jp](http://dspace.jaist.ac.jp)

Internet Source

<1%

39

[www.ijaar.org](http://www.ijaar.org)

Internet Source

<1%

40

Submitted to Direktorat Pendidikan Tinggi  
Keagamaan Islam Kementerian Agama

Student Paper

<1%

41

[eric.ed.gov](http://eric.ed.gov)

Internet Source

<1%

42

Submitted to University of North Carolina,  
Greensboro

Student Paper

<1%

43

Sri Adi Widodo. "DEVELOPMENT OF  
TEACHING MATERIALS ALGEBRAIC  
EQUATION TO IMPROVE PROBLEM  
SOLVING", Infinity Journal, 2017

Publication

<1%

44

Winarti Dwi Febriani, Geri Syahril Sidik. "THE  
EFFECT OF REALISTIC MATHEMATICS  
EDUCATION (RME) ON THE UNDERSTAND  
MATHEMATICAL CONCEPTS SKILLS OF

<1%

ELEMENTARY STUDENTS USING  
HYPOTHETICAL LEARNING TRAJECTORY  
(HLT)", PrimaryEdu - Journal of Primary  
Education, 2020

Publication

45

[pure.tue.nl](http://pure.tue.nl)

Internet Source

<1%

46

Submitted to King's College

Student Paper

<1%

47

Deborah Faye Carter, Hyun Kyoung Ro,  
Benjamin Alcott, Lisa R. Lattuca. "Co-Curricular  
Connections: The Role of Undergraduate  
Research Experiences in Promoting  
Engineering Students' Communication,  
Teamwork, and Leadership Skills", Research in  
Higher Education, 2015

Publication

<1%

48

Submitted to Universitas Slamet Riyadi

Student Paper

<1%

49

[www.2014.icemst.com](http://www.2014.icemst.com)

Internet Source

<1%

50

Bracha Kramarski. "Promoting teachers'  
algebraic reasoning and self-regulation with  
metacognitive guidance", Metacognition and  
Learning, 08/2008

Publication

<1%

51	<a href="http://mafiadoc.com">mafiadoc.com</a> Internet Source	<1%
52	<a href="http://1pdf.net">1pdf.net</a> Internet Source	<1%
53	<a href="http://repository.uinjkt.ac.id">repository.uinjkt.ac.id</a> Internet Source	<1%
54	M Muhammad, J Purwanto. "The effect of Double Loop Problem Solving (DLPS) on critical thinking skills and mathematical problem solving abilities", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020 Publication	<1%
55	I Kurnia, Caswita. "Students' critical thinking ability in solving contextual problems at a junior high school", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020 Publication	<1%
56	Syaiful Hamzah Nasution, Hendro Permadi, Leoni Saraswati, Susy Kuspambudi. "Profile of mathematical writing communication skills with the open-ended approach", AIP Publishing, 2020 Publication	<1%
57	<a href="http://manualzz.com">manualzz.com</a> Internet Source	<1%

58	L D Fitriana, Y Fuad, R Ekawati. "Student's Critical Thinking in Solving Open-Ended Problems Based on Their Personality Type", <i>Journal of Physics: Conference Series</i> , 2018 Publication	<1%
59	<a href="http://repository.nwu.ac.za">repository.nwu.ac.za</a> Internet Source	<1%
60	Submitted to Middlesex University Student Paper	<1%
61	<a href="http://su.diva-portal.org">su.diva-portal.org</a> Internet Source	<1%
62	Henk Pol, Egbert Harskamp, Cor Suhre. "Solving physics problems with the help of computer-assisted instruction", <i>International Journal of Science Education</i> , 2005 Publication	<1%
63	<a href="http://www.int-e.net">www.int-e.net</a> Internet Source	<1%
64	Submitted to National Cheng Kung University Student Paper	<1%
65	<a href="http://studylib.net">studylib.net</a> Internet Source	<1%
66	<a href="http://uir.unisa.ac.za">uir.unisa.ac.za</a> Internet Source	<1%



67	<p>N N Parwati, I M Mariawan, I N Suparta. "The effectiveness of the implementation of environmental-based learning media toward the mathematical problem-solving ability and the impact on students' nationalism attitudes", <i>Journal of Physics: Conference Series</i>, 2019</p> <p>Publication</p>	<1%
68	<p>Lena Hansson, Örjan Hansson, Kristina Juter, Andreas Redfors. "Curriculum Emphases, Mathematics and Teaching Practices: Swedish Upper-Secondary Physics Teachers' Views", <i>International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education</i>, 2020</p> <p>Publication</p>	<1%
69	<p>Submitted to St. Mary's College Twickenham</p> <p>Student Paper</p>	<1%
70	<p>Jingzi Huang, Bruce Normandia, Sandra Greer. "Communicating Mathematically: Comparison of Knowledge Structures in Teacher and Student Discourse in a Secondary Math Classroom", <i>Communication Education</i>, 2005</p> <p>Publication</p>	<1%
71	<p>Submitted to University of Hull</p> <p>Student Paper</p>	<1%
72	<p><a href="http://etd.lib.metu.edu.tr">etd.lib.metu.edu.tr</a></p> <p>Internet Source</p>	<1%

73 Andreas Winter, Ulrich S. Schubert. "Metal-Terpyridine Complexes in Catalytic Application – A Spotlight on the Last Decade", ChemCatChem, 2020  
Publication <1%

---

74 Submitted to University of Leeds  
Student Paper <1%

---

75 Submitted to Sierra Nevada College  
Student Paper <1%

---

76 Beni Setiawan, Markus Iyus Supiandi. "The Contribution of Metacognitive Skills and Reasoning Skills on Problem Solving Ability Based on Problem Based Learning (PBL) Model", Anatolian Journal of Education, 2018  
Publication <1%

---

77 Mohd Saat \*, Rohaida. "The acquisition of integrated science process skills in a web-based learning environment", Research in Science & Technological Education, 2004.  
Publication <1%

---

78 [www.researchgate.net](http://www.researchgate.net)  
Internet Source <1%

---

79 [stem-in-ed2018.com.au](http://stem-in-ed2018.com.au)  
Internet Source <1%

---

80 [pdfs.semanticscholar.org](http://pdfs.semanticscholar.org)  
Internet Source <1%

- 
- 81 Y U Putri, E Musdi. "Practicality of Learning Tools Based on Contextual Teaching and Learning Approach to Improve Mathematical Communication Skills for High School Students Grade VII", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020  
Publication <1%
- 
- 82 R H Y Sari, D U Wutsqa. "Analysis of student's error in resolving the Pythagoras problems", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019  
Publication <1%
- 
- 83 files.aiscience.org  
Internet Source <1%
- 
- 84 Zehavit Kohen, Orit Herscovitz, Yehudit Judy Dori. "How to promote chemical literacy? On-line question posing and communicating with scientists", Chemistry Education Research and Practice, 2020  
Publication <1%
- 
- 85 Submitted to Columbus State University  
Student Paper <1%
- 
- 86 "Teachers Creating Context-Based Learning Environments in Science", Springer Nature, 2016  
Publication <1%
- 
- 87 "Educational Technology Beyond Content",

Springer Science and Business Media LLC,  
2020

Publication

<1%

88

Hossein Bozorgian. "The Role of Metacognition  
in the Development of EFL Learners' Listening  
Skill", International Journal of Listening, 2014

Publication

<1%

89

S Maharani, E Suprpto. "Developing group  
investigation-based book on numerical analysis  
to increase critical thinking student's ability",  
Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018

Publication

<1%

90

[link.springer.com](https://link.springer.com)

Internet Source

<1%

91

Submitted to Bolton Institute of Higher  
Education

Student Paper

<1%

92

Cita Dwi Rosita, Tri Nopriana, Isna Silvia.  
"DESIGN OF LEARNING MATERIALS ON  
CIRCLE BASED ON MATHEMATICAL  
COMMUNICATION", Infinity Journal, 2019

Publication

<1%

93

Derek Holton, David Clarke. "Scaffolding and  
metacognition", International Journal of  
Mathematical Education in Science and  
Technology, 2006

Publication

<1%

94	Submitted to University of Newcastle Student Paper	<1%
95	journal.umpo.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
96	Submitted to University of Northumbria at Newcastle Student Paper	<1%
97	Submitted to Manchester Metropolitan University Student Paper	<1%
98	Second International Handbook of Science Education, 2012. Publication	<1%
99	"Trends in Teaching and Learning of Mathematical Modelling", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2011 Publication	<1%
100	Submitted to Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Student Paper	<1%
101	Shijin Guo, Yanqing Wang. "Empirical Distributions of Personal Financial Product Returns of China", 2010 International Conference on e-Education, e-Business, e- Management and e-Learning, 2010 Publication	<1%

102	Ceren Tekkaya. "Remediating High School Students' Misconceptions Concerning Diffusion and Osmosis through Concept Mapping and Conceptual Change Text", Research in Science & Technological Education, 2010 Publication	<1%
103	Submitted to Federal University of Technology Student Paper	<1%
104	Submitted to Temple University Student Paper	<1%
105	"Encyclopedia of the Sciences of Learning", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2012 Publication	<1%
106	Submitted to University of Cambridge Student Paper	<1%
107	Submitted to Midlands State University Student Paper	<1%

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

# C10 Haryanto International Journal The Effect of Metacognitive

---

## GRADEMARK REPORT

---

FINAL GRADE

**/100**

GENERAL COMMENTS

**Instructor**

---

PAGE 1

---

PAGE 2

---

PAGE 3

---

PAGE 4

---

PAGE 5

---

PAGE 6

---

PAGE 7

---

PAGE 8

---

PAGE 9

---

PAGE 10

---

PAGE 11

---

PAGE 12

---